

Aki's Oath

I will pay attention to make my presentation familiar to my international colleagues, by following the good lessons of AEA's **Potent Presentations Initiative** (<http://p2i.eval.org/>).

#TITLE#

“Mixed Method Evaluation of Municipal Out-of-School-Time (OST) Program done by the Parents' Association”



#PREFACE#

1. My Research Question

- .How to evaluate quality of OST programs
- .How to do/utilize evaluation results for a better situation

2. Koganei City Tokyo

- .illusion of "privatization = innovation" in the last decade (in Japan)

3. Political Situation about OSTP in Koganei City

4. Evaluators = citizens

- .OSTP for "heartly nutrition" "healthy amusements"
- .OSTP to go (=that children commute)

5. My Position

- .researcher
- .parent
- .citizen
- .international member of AEA



#MIXED METHODS ADOPTED#

1. Confirming about the meaning of Evaluation = composition of "fact identifying" and "judge making"

- .easy methods; though not too sharp
- .partly input based, partly output based.

2. Newspaper Scrapping : comparison with other cities

- .to seek characteristics of OSTP in KGN

3. Interviewing : part time staffs

- .to seek characteristics of OSTP

4. Questionnaire Sheets for Parents: 176 sheets collected out of 500 families

Purpose = to know how parents esteem OSTP

Tips = broad range of questions

accordance with a nation-wide standard (draft)

asking the **satisfaction** and **priority**

fit size of A3; both sides printed.

sheets processing by **each home Parents' Association**

Results = great **satisfaction** for its care quality

low esteem for clerical managements or giving budgets

5. Survey of Staffs in other Cities

Purpose = to compare working conditions and maturity of staffs

Results = low wage

high turn-off rate

some immature staffs



6. Survey of Children "Un Quitting Rate"

Purpose = a quick data, to get political initiative

How to = my daughter's class' case

how to calculate it

how it looks like (Hand out 1)



#KNOW MORE ABOUT "Un-Quitting Rate"

1. Use of UQR

.advanced use (1) : comparing cities

.advanced use (2) : cross analysis with staffing condition

.advanced use (3) : cross analysis parents' commitment

2. Pros and Cons

.cons 1: in-accuracy of what rates mean

.cons 2: might harm staffs, even those doing well

.cons 3: bi-effect of maintaining "good rate"

.pros 1: measurable by numeric

.pros 2: visual comparisons

.pros 3: fast to present

.pros 4: good for a "que"



3. Hope

.evaluate newly privatized programs by new public managements of certain cities

.to accelerate broad and deep arguments (evaluations) of OSTP

#OUTCOME OF EVALUATION

1. Publication

.publishing the results to parents, staffs, clerks and city councillors.

.publishing in academic/practical conferences.

.books and articles.

.web sites (sorry, in Japanese)

2. Outcome of the Evaluation

.political notification

.careful processing

."conference for parental-governmental discussion"

."municipal standard for OSTP"

.model of other cities' collaboration of "clerks and citizens".



3. Unsolved Problems Left

.compile real case studies

.make the evaluation process sharp

.explore other methods

.exchange evaluation experiences



#CLOSING CREDIT

.thank you

.please go to AEA's e-library

.let's keep in touch