









# **Evaluation Findings**

"Government bureaucats and local aristocats identified collaboration among implementing purrtner cats (IPCs) as an area that could be improved during the Cat Condo project implementation."

or

"Many of the government bureaucats and local aristocats interviewed identified collaboration among the IPCs as an area that could be improved during the Cat Condo project implementation."

or

"Eight of the 10 government bureaucats interviewed and 10 of the 25 aristocats interviewed identified collaboration among the IPCs as an area that could be improved during the Cat Condo project implementation."

or

"Eighty percent of government bureaucats interviewed and 40% of the aristocats interviewed identified collaboration among the IPCs as an area that could be improved during the Cat Condo project implementation."

3

## To Quantify or not to Quantify (qualitative focus group and interview data)

#### How quantification might help... How quantification might hurt... 1. Improves precision of statements that 1. Suggests a precision and objectivity of are quantitative in nature. measurement that is not always credible. 2. Adds transparency about data and 2. If everyone was not asked the same findings, and helps defend against claims question or didn't answer in a standardized of cherry picking. way, numbers may misrepresent the data. 3. Helps convey internal generalizabiltiy of 3. Can lead to inappropriate inferences findings. about a larger population (external generalizabilty). 4. Helps in identifying patterns. 4. Detracts from and reduces the nuance in the data and separates each piece of evidence from its context.



- 1. In what situations do you find it more or less useful to quantify your qualitative data?
- 2. What factors do you consider when deciding whether or not to quantify your qualitative data?
- 3. Has this been an issue with the audience for your evaluation? How did you resolve the issue?

8

### What does the literature say...

The literature says you should find a new gimmick. Cat photos are



Suggestions from the editors of *Addiction* (2014):

- 1. Include a clear statement reminding readers that no inferences can be drawn about the prevalence of phenomena observed beyond the sample.
- 2. By and large, limit reporting of raw numbers to features that have been assessed for all the participants in a manner that allows for comparison.
- 3. Avoid percentages unless the study has a sample size greater than 50 or thereabouts.
- 4. Do not use terms that have a specific quantitative meaning, e.g. 'majority', 'minority', 'most', without providing a specific justification for why such language is appropriate.
- 5. If some form of semi-quantification is required, it is often better to use appropriately non-specific terms such as: 'a few', 'several', 'some', 'many' etc. As with point 4, explicit justification should always be given.

### References

Hannah, David and Lautsch B. A. Counting in Qualitative Research: Why to Conduct it, When to Avoid it, and When to Closet it. Journal of Management Inquiry, March 2011.

Maxwell, Joseph. A. Using numbers in qualitative research. Qualitative Inquiry 2010; 16: 475-82.

Neale, Joanne, Miller P., West R. Reporting quantitative information in qualitative research: guidance for authors and reviewers. Addiction, 109, 175–176.

Capybaras are the new

Sandelowski Margarete. Real qualitative researchers do not count: the us numbers in qualitative research. Research in Nursing & Health, 2001; 24:7

Sandelowski M., Voils C. I., Knafl G. On quantitizing. Journal of Mixed Methol Research, 2009; 3: 208-22.

Stewart, D., Shamdasani, P., and D. Rook. Focus Groups Theory and Practice (2nd Ed). Applied Social Research Methods Srs. Vol. 20, 2006.