



**Using the *Guiding Principles for Evaluators* to Improve Your Practice**

Ethics Committee Professional Development Task Force

Note: This workshop has been approved by the AEA Board for public use and dissemination.

1



**Objectives of Workshop**

- ☞ Increase knowledge of the AEA *Guiding Principles for Evaluators (GP)*
- ☞ Analyze the *Guiding Principles* in a program evaluation context
- ☞ Consider how the *Guiding Principles* can be used to inform ethical evaluation practice

2



**AEA's Development of the *Guiding Principles for Evaluators***

- ☞ 1986: Founding of American Evaluation Association
- ☞ 1993-1994: Original five *Guiding Principles for Evaluators* developed and ratified
- ☞ 2002-2004: *GP* reviewed and updated
- ☞ 2004: Revised *GP* endorsed through referendum of AEA membership

3



**Assumptions behind the *Guiding Principles for Evaluators***

- ☞ Purposes of the *Guiding Principles*
  - ☞ Promote ethical evaluation practice
  - ☞ Foster continuing professional development
  - ☞ Stimulate discussion within and outside evaluation
- ☞ Evaluators aspire to provide best possible information that might bear on the value of whatever is being evaluated

4



**Assumptions behind the *Guiding Principles for Evaluators* (cont.)**

- ☞ The *Guiding Principles*:
  - ☞ Proactively guide everyday practice
  - ☞ Cover all kinds of evaluation
  - ☞ Are not independent, but overlap
  - ☞ May sometimes conflict; need to consider trade-offs
- ☞ The *GP* were developed in the context of the United States

5



**Principle A: Systematic Inquiry**

- ☞ Evaluators conduct **systematic, data-based** inquiries:
  - ☞ Adhere to highest technical standards
  - ☞ Explore strengths and shortcomings of evaluation questions and approaches
  - ☞ Communicate approaches, methods and limitations accurately

6

**Principle B: Competence** 

 Evaluators provide **competent performance** to stakeholders:

- ◉ Possess appropriate skills and experience
- ◉ Demonstrate cultural competence
- ◉ Practice within limits of competence
- ◉ Continually improve competencies

7

**Principle C: Integrity/Honesty** 

 Evaluators **display honesty and integrity** and attempt to ensure them throughout the entire evaluation process:

- ◉ Negotiate honestly with clients and stakeholders
- ◉ Disclose values, interests and conflicts of interest
- ◉ Represent accurately methods, data and findings
- ◉ Disclose source of request and financial support for evaluation

8

**Principle D: Respect for People** 

 Evaluators **respect security, dignity and self-worth** of all stakeholders:

- ◉ Understand evaluation context
- ◉ Get informed consent and protect confidentiality
- ◉ Maximize benefits and minimize harm
- ◉ Foster social equity
- ◉ Respect differences among stakeholders

9

**Principle E: General and Public Welfare** 

 Evaluators take into account **general and public interests**:

- ◉ Include relevant stakeholders
- ◉ Balance client and stakeholder needs
- ◉ Examine assumptions and potential side effects
- ◉ Present results in understandable forms

10

**Case Study for Small Group Work** 

-  Summary of an actual evaluation case, adapted for discussion
-  Case includes all phases of evaluation
-  All details could not be included in summary

11

**Instructions for Small Group Work** 

 **Individually:**

- 1) Read the complete case study
- 2) Identify issues or questions that relate to each *Guiding Principle*
- 3) Record issues/questions on work sheet

12

### Instructions for Small Group Work



#### As a Group:

- 1) Identify main issues to report
- 2) Record on flipchart and choose a reporter
- 3) Discuss how the *Guiding Principles* relate to the evaluation summarized in the case study

13

### Reporting Out from Small Groups



- Summarize small group reports—what are similarities and differences across groups?

14

### Large Group Discussion



- How can you use the *Guiding Principles* as you design and conduct your own evaluations?
- How can the *Guiding Principles* inform the ethical practice of evaluation?

15

### Professional Support Resources



- EvalTalk (<http://www.bama.ua.edu/archives/evaltalk.html>)
- AEA Local Affiliates and TIGs (<http://www.eval.org/AboutUs/Organization>)
- Evaluation colleagues
- Faculty who teach evaluation and peers
- Ethical Challenges column in *American Journal of Evaluation* (<http://www.eval.org/Publications/AJE>)
- Evaluation Ethics for Best Practice*, by Michael Morris
- Ethical Reasoning article from the IU Poynter Center

16

### Professional Support Resources (cont.)



Selected Cultural Competence References:

- In Search of Cultural Competence in Evaluation, *New Directions in Evaluation* vol. 102 (2004)
- Co-Constructing a Contextually Responsive Evaluation Framework, *New Directions in Evaluation* vol. 101 (2004)
- Overview of Multicultural and Culturally Competent Program Evaluation: Issues, Challenges and Opportunities (2003)  
<http://www.calendow.org/evaluation/pdf/overviewbook.pdf>
- Selected Bibliography Related to Culture and Context in Assessment and Evaluation Studies 1994-2004  
<http://www.howard.edu/schooleducation/ETI/bibliography.pdf>

17

### Other Resources for Guiding Evaluation Practice



- Meta-evaluation Checklist for AEA *Guiding Principles for Evaluators*  
<http://www.wmich.edu/evalctr/checklists/checklistmenu.htm>
- Program Evaluation Standards, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition (1994)  
  - Under revision by the Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation
  - <http://www.wmich.edu/evalctr/jc/>
- Personnel Evaluation Standards (1988)  
  - Under revision by the Joint Committee on Standards for Educational Evaluation. Field tests are currently underway.
  - <http://www.wmich.edu/evalctr/jc/>
- International Organisation for Cooperation in Evaluation  
<http://ioce.net/home/index.cfm?dv=1&lan=en>

18

**Ethics Committee Professional Development Task Force** 

- ☞ 2006 Ethics Committee: Jules Marquart, Leslie Goodyear, Dennis Affholter
- ☞ Professional Development Committee: Bill Rickards
- ☞ Diversity Committee: Denice Cassaro, Jennifer Williams
- ☞ Other AEA members: Marcie Bober, Edie Cook, Randall Davies, Amy Germuth, Tom Grayson, Kelly Hannum, Judith Inazu, Rita O'Sullivan, Stephanie Schneider, Linda Schrader, Veronica Thomas, Brian Yates

19

**Workshop Evaluation** 

- ☞ Wrap-up
- ☞ Now it's up to you to use the *Guiding Principles* in your practice!
- ☞ Your turn to give us feedback
  - ☞ Please complete and return the evaluation form

20