



Speaking Program Participants' Truth Using Culturally Responsive Evaluation Practices

VALERIE YORK & WENDI STARK

OFFICE OF EDUCATIONAL INNOVATION & EVALUATION (OEIE)

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

KANSAS STATE
UNIVERSITY

Office of Educational
Innovation and Evaluation

Audience Poll

Do you currently incorporate culturally responsive practices into your project evaluations?

A) Yes

B) No/Unsure

Office of Educational Innovation & Evaluation

- ▶ **Founded in 2000**, affiliated with Kansas State University's College of Education
- ▶ Team-based structure: **19 full-time staff** + 4 Undergraduate and 4 Graduate Research Assistants
- ▶ Staff have **varied backgrounds**: adult learning, curriculum & instruction, psychology, sociology, evaluation, computer science, math, public administration, political science, communication, education
- ▶ Over **350 projects evaluated**, valued at over **\$400 million**
- ▶ **Over 50 active evaluation projects** funded by NSF, USDA/NIFA, NIH, DoDEA, FtF, USDE, DOL, and state government agencies

Speaking Truth to Power: Key Ideas

Goal: to represent participants' perspectives and experiences accurately

- ▶ Whose **truth**?
 - ▶ Program participants' true perspectives and experiences with a program
- ▶ Who has **power**?
 - ▶ Program participants/stakeholders – to share their honest/true perspectives
 - ▶ Program leadership
 - ▶ To share knowledge about their program and its stakeholders
 - ▶ To make changes to the program
 - ▶ Evaluation team – to use methods that are a good fit for the program and the inclusion of all stakeholders

What is culture?

- ▶ “the shared experiences of people, including their languages, values, customs, beliefs, and mores. It also includes worldviews, ways of knowing, and ways of communicating.”
 - ▶ Taken from AEA Cultural Competence Statement (2011)
- ▶ What are examples of culturally significant factors/dimensions?

What are some cultural dimensions?

Culturally significant factors and contextual dimensions encompass, but are not limited to:

race/ethnicity

sexual orientation

religion

age

social class

gender

language

geographic region

disability

socioeconomic circumstances

Taken from AEA Cultural Competence Statement (2011)

Culturally Responsive Evaluation: Key Ideas

- ▶ Goal: Represent participants' perspectives and experiences accurately
- ▶ Each project is different
- ▶ The PI can be a valuable asset to learning about cultural context
- ▶ Reflect on cultural differences and value diversity/inclusion
- ▶ Maintain awareness and consideration throughout the evaluation - to enhance understanding and respect/trust
- ▶ Be open, flexible, willing to learn

Culturally Responsive Evaluation Practices: Design

- ▶ Work with the PI to identify:
 - ▶ Key stakeholder groups, including cultural backgrounds & contexts
 - ▶ Evaluation questions, including outcomes that define success
 - ▶ Methods and timing for data collections with each group
- ▶ Coordinate with the PI related to research/internal evaluation efforts
- ▶ Include team members who share aspects of participants' cultural background
- ▶ Seek IRB approval

Culturally Responsive Evaluation Practices: Instrument Development

- ▶ Tie items to evaluation questions/logic model
- ▶ Consider language, length, layout, order
- ▶ Include compliance/consent statements and relevance to participants
- ▶ Explain reason for collecting potentially sensitive data (e.g., demographics), reiterate that it is voluntary, and share plans for the data
- ▶ Incorporate open-ended questions to allow participants to share their experiences in their own words
- ▶ Include an open-ended question that allows participants to share any other comments/questions about the program or the evaluation

Culturally Responsive Evaluation Practices: Data Collection

- ▶ Ask the PI or another trusted team member to send a pre-notice to participants
- ▶ Use locations participants are familiar with and have easy access to
- ▶ Consider how you dress
- ▶ Define roles of evaluators (to learn) and participants (experts)
- ▶ Use ground rules that promote inclusion/respect, and gain verbal agreement
- ▶ Be prepared to rephrase questions or present questions in multiple ways
- ▶ Capture responses using:
 - ▶ Participants' own words/phrases
 - ▶ A method that allows participants to know that you are capturing their ideas accurately/thoroughly
 - ▶ A method that allows for anonymous feedback
- ▶ Provide contact information for follow-up comments

Culturally Responsive Evaluation Practices: Analysis/Reporting

- ▶ Describe evaluation methods clearly and thoroughly
- ▶ Describe results/findings in participants' words
- ▶ Share full results with PI, when possible (remove identifying information)
- ▶ Have others review analysis/report to identify other possible interpretations
- ▶ Provide observations to guide interpretation of results and recommendations for moving forward – framed as a potential way forward
- ▶ Provide reports in timely fashion, so participant feedback is received/can be used
- ▶ Meet with PI to discuss findings and answer questions
- ▶ Encourage PI to share results/next steps with participants (consider format)

Discussion Question

- ▶ ***What additional culturally responsive practices are you incorporating into your evaluations?***

Individual or Small Group Reflections

Reflect on one or more of the following scenarios:

- 1) You are evaluating a program focused on educating secondary students with disabilities (e.g., mobility, vision, learning, etc.) on a military installation
- 2) You are evaluating a program focused on recruiting and retaining women, and specifically women of color, in STEM majors at a southern university
- 3) Select your own evaluation scenario/project

- ▶ ***How can you incorporate culturally responsive practices into the evaluation design, instrumentation, data collection, analysis, and/or reporting?***
- ▶ ***Consider: If you modify specific aspects of the scenario (e.g., population, location), how might this affect your approach?***

Culturally Responsive Evaluation: Key Take-Aways

- ▶ The PI can be a valuable resource/asset to the evaluation
- ▶ Maintain reflection/awareness of cultural context and incorporate culturally responsive practices throughout the evaluation process
- ▶ Doing so will enhance:
 - ▶ Inclusion of stakeholders' voices
 - ▶ Understanding and trust/respect between the evaluators and project stakeholders
 - ▶ The evaluators' ability to accurately report on participants' experiences

Culturally Responsive Evaluation: Select Resources

- ▶ American Evaluation Association. (2011). *Public Statement on Cultural Competence in Evaluation*. Fairhaven, MA: Author. Retrieved from www.eval.org/p/cm/ld/fid=92.
- ▶ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2014). *Practical Strategies for Culturally Competent Evaluation*. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/dhds/docs/cultural_competence_guide.pdf.
- ▶ Frierson, H.T., Hood, S., Hughes, G.B., & Thomas, V.G. (2010). *A Guide to Conducting Culturally Responsive Evaluations, in The 2010 User-Friendly Handbook for Project Evaluation* (pp. 75-96). Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation. Retrieved from: <http://www.informalscience.org/sites/default/files/TheUserFriendlyGuide.pdf>.
- ▶ Learning for Action. *Cultural Humility Checklists: Tools for Designing and Implementing Culturally Responsive and Inclusive Evaluations*. Retrieved from www.learningforaction.com/lfa-blogpost/culturally-responsive-evaluation.
- ▶ Sulewski, J.S., & Gothberg, J. (2013). *Universal Design for Evaluation Checklist* (4th ed.). Retrieved from <http://comm.eval.org/HigherLogic/System/DownloadDocumentFile.ashx?DocumentFileKey=8afd48c6-39c3-4dad-9629-1ed7c2654f3f&forceDialog=0>.



Questions?

Final Thoughts?