**Evaluability Assessment -- Discovery Questions – Checklist[[1]](#footnote-1)**

**To Be Used to Guide a Conversation with Stakeholders**

***Adequacy of Program Design***

**(As described in a Logical Framework or narrative)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Exploratory Question** | **Not Adequate** | **Getting There** | **Adequate** |
| **Clarity?** | Are the long-term impact and outcomes clearly identified and are the proposed steps towards achieving these clearly defined (i.e., alignment)? |  |  |  |
| **Relevant?** | Are the program goals clearly relevant to the needs of the target group, as identified by any form of situation analysis, baseline study, or other evidence and argument? Is the intended beneficiary group clearly defined? |  |  |  |
| **Plausible?** | Is there a continuous “causal” chain, connecting the intervention with outcomes? Is it likely that the program outcomes could be achieved, given the planned interventions, within the program lifespan? Is there evidence from elsewhere that it could be achieved? |  |  |  |
| **Validity and reliability?** | Are there *valid* indicators for each expected event (output and outcome levels), i.e., will they capture what is expected to happen? Are they *reliable* indicators, i.e., will observations by different observers find the same thing? |  |  |  |
| **Testable?** | Is it possible to identify which linkages in the logical chain will be most critical to the success of the program, and thus should be the focus of evaluation questions? |  |  |  |
| **Contextualized?** | Have assumptions about the roles of other boundary partners outside the program been made explicit (both enablers and constrainers)? Are there plausible plans to monitor these in any practicable way? |  |  |  |
| **Consistent?** | Is there consistency in the way the design is described across various program multiple documents (Design, monitoring and evaluation plans, work plans, progress reports, etc.)? |  |  |  |
| **Complexity?** | Are there expected to be multiple interactions between different program components [complicating attribution of causes/influences and identification of effects]? How clearly defined are the expected interactions? |  |  |  |
| **Agreement?** | To what extent are different stakeholders holding different views about the goals and how they will be achieved? How visible are the views of stakeholders who might be expected to have different views? |  |  |  |

***Information Availability***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criterion** | **Exploratory Question** | **Not Adequate** | **Getting There** | **Adequate** |
| **Is a complete set**  **of documents available?** | …relative to what could have been expected, (e.g., Program proposal, Progress Reports, Evaluations / impact assessments, Commissioned studies)? |  |  |  |
| **Do baseline measures exist?** | If baseline data is not yet available, are there specific plans for when baseline data would be collected and how feasible are these?  If baseline data exists in the form of survey data, is the raw data available, or just selected currently relevant items? Is the sampling process clear? Are the survey instruments available?  If baseline data is in the form of national or regional statistics, how disaggregated is the data? Are time series data available, for pre-program years? |  |  |  |
| **Is there data on a control group?** | Is it clear how the control group compares to the intervention group? Is the raw data available or just summary statistics? Are the members of the control group identifiable and potentially contactable? How frequently has data been collected on the status of the control group? |  |  |  |
| **Is the data being collected for all the indicators?** | Is it with sufficient frequency? Is there significant missing data? Are the measures being used reliable, i.e., is measurement error likely to be a problem? |  |  |  |
| **Is critical data available?** | Are the intended and actual beneficiaries identifiable? Is there a record of who was involved in what program activities and when? |  |  |  |
| **Is disaggregated data available?** | In the baseline? For each of the indicators during program intervention? In the control group? In any mid-term or process review? |  |  |  |
| **If reviews or evaluations have been carried out…** | Are the reports available? Are the authors contactable? Is the raw data available? Is the sampling process clear? Are the survey instruments available? |  |  |  |
| **Do existing monitoring & evaluation systems have the capacity to deliver?** | Where data is not yet available, do existing staff and systems have the capacity to do so in the future? Are responsibilities, sources and time lines defined and appropriate? Is the budget adequate? |  |  |  |

***Institutional Context & Intended Use***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Practicalities** | | | | |
| **Accessibility to and availability of stakeholders?** | Are there physical security risks? Will weather be a constraint? Are staff and key stakeholders likely to be present, or absent on leave? Can reported availability be relied upon? | **Not Adequate** | **Getting There** | **Adequate** |
| **Resources available to do the evaluation?** | Time available in total and in region? Timing within the schedule of all other activities? Funding available for the relevant team and duration? People with the necessary skills available at this point? |  |  |  |
| **Is the timing right?** | Is there an opportunity for an evaluation to have an influence? Has the program accumulated enough implementation experience to enable useful lessons to be extracted? If the evaluation was planned in advance, is the evaluation still relevant? |  |  |  |
| **Coordination requirements?** | How many other donors, state agencies, regional departments need to be or want to be involved? What forms of coordination are possible and/or required? |  |  |  |
| **Demands** | | | | |
| **Who wants an evaluation?** | Have the primary users been clearly identified? Can they be involved in defining the evaluation? Will they participate in an evaluation process? | **Not Adequate** | **Getting There** | **Adequate** |
| **What do stakeholders want to know?** | What evaluation questions are of interest to whom? Are these realistic, given the project design and likely data availability? Can they be prioritized? How do people want to see the results used? Is this realistic? |  |  |  |
| **What sort of evaluation process do stakeholders want?** | What designs do stakeholders express interest in? Could these work given the questions of interest and likely information availability, and resources available? |  |  |  |
| **What ethical issues exist?** | Are they known or knowable? Are they likely to be manageable? What constraints will they impose? |  |  |  |
| **What are the risks?** | Will stakeholders be able to manage negative findings? Have previous evaluation experiences prejudiced stakeholder’s likely participation? |  |  |  |

1. This checklist is based on the work of Rick Davies, (2013). *Planning Evaluability Assessments: A synthesis of the literature with recommendations*. A report of a study commissioned by the Department for International Development (DFID), UK. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)