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## Demonstration Overview

- Background
- study information
- why a data party?
- Data party components
- gallery walk
- placemats
- Lessons learned
- Action planning!


## Background

- Implement common outcome evaluation tool in 9 camps in California in Summer 2016
- Goals of the evaluation
- Common tool across camps
- Some overlap with other $4-\mathrm{H}$ programming
- Formal evaluation process for camps
- Evaluation contents
- Campers
- 4 outcome scales
- 2 open-ended questions: 1) best part of camp and 2) what they would change
- Teen Staff
- 2 outcome scales
- 4 open-ended questions
- Winter 2017: Data party!
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## Why have a data party?



Helps
stakeholders
understand
importance and
role of
evaluation

Helps stakeholders use that data to make relevant changes to their camps

## Structure

## Participants

- Facilitators
- Marianne (Chair of Camp Advisory Committee)
- Kendra (Evaluation Coordinator)
- Camp Staff
- Adults
- Youth
- Camp Advisory Committee Members
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## Agenda

| Topic | Amount of Time <br> (minutes) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Introduction to data party and <br> purpose; introduction of participants | 30 |
| Gallery Walk | 30 |
| Large group discussion \& reflection | 45 |
| Break | 10 |
| Data placemats (1): small groups | 45 |
| Large group discussion \& reflection | 45 |
| Lunch | 60 |
| Data placemats (2): small groups | 45 |
| Large group discussion \& reflection | 45 |
| Break | 10 |
| Action Plans | $45-60$ |
| Report out \& Wrap-up | 60 |



## Gallery Walk

Contents

- Statewide results
- Interesting findings
- Concerning questions
- Prompts for discussion


## Process

- Groups of 2-3
- Mix of camp location
- Mix of youth and adult
- About 30 minutes total, rotate every 5 minutes

We asked a total of 30 questions about 4 outcomes:
-Affinity for Nature
-Responsibility
-Friendship Skills
-Confidence
We tested for differences in scores between Campers and Teen Staff, and found that Teen Staff scores were significantly higher than Campers on 3 outcomes: Responsibility, Friendship, and Confidence.


Discuss with your walking partner:
I. Do any of these findings surprise you?
2. Why might teens have higher outcomes than campers for responsibility, friendship, and confidence? Why not for affinity for nature?



## Gallery Walk

Contents

- Statewide results
- Interesting findings
- Concerning questions
- Prompts for discussion


Process

- Groups of 2-3
- Mix of camp location
- Mix of youth and adult
- About 30 minutes total, rotate every 5 minutes
- Large group discussion (45 minutes)


## Lessons learned:

- Some posters are more engaging than others
- Some people might need more pushing to move on
- Give more time for more complex information


## LET'S PRACTICE
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## Gallery Walk

- How did you feel about this experience?
-What are some advantages to presenting data in this way?
- How might you use this with your own data?


# DATA PLACEMATS <br> Camper Outcomes 
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## Data placemats

Contents

- County results
- Demographics and outcomes
- Qualitative: word clouds
- Prompts for discussion


## Process

- Camp group discussions (45 minutes)
- Large group discussion (45 minutes)



## What was the best part of camp?



# DATA PLACEMATS <br> Teen Outcomes 

## Data placemats

Contents

- County results
- Demographics and outcomes
- Qualitative: word clouds
- Prompts for discussion


## Process

- Camp group discussions (45 minutes)
- Large group discussion (30 minutes)


How do you feel you have changed as a result of being a teen staff?


## Data Placemats: Lessons Learned

- Let groups code open-ended data
- Staff loved this opportunity to reflect

What was the most important skill you


What could be done to make your experience as a teen staff better?

## Organization <br> Privileges Responsibility

 Guidance
Staff-training


## Action plans

## General lesson learned

- Gallery walk: present overall results (not broken down by group)
- Have questions to prompt discussion
- Build in breaks
- Be flexible with time
- Staff loved it! Data party for 2017 in November



## Resources

- Blog posts:
- http://aea365.org/blog/have-a-party-to-share-evaluation-results-by-kendra-lewis/
- https://www.acacamps.org/news-publications/blogs/research-360/have-data-party-share-evaluation-results
- Data placemats:
- https://www.slideshare.net/InnoNet Eval/data-placemats-22200834
- Session tomorrow AM with several non-profits!
- Data parties
- https://www.pinterest.com/evaluationmaven/data-parties/
- https://www.joe.org/joe/2013february/iw2.php


## LET'S PRACTICE!

